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ABSTRACT
Successful liver transplantation typically results in an immediate decrease in intrahepatic resistance accompanied by an initial increased hepatopetal portal flow. Within a short period of time, the portal hypertension resolves and the variceal shunts involute. However, in situations in which intrahepatic vascular resistance to venous flow remains elevated, significant hepatofugal portal flow may continue through persistent mesenteric shunts. This situation, portal steal, can result in decreased perfusion of the liver graft leading to graft dysfunction, failure, and potentially recipient death. This report details a case and the surrounding literature to highlight appropriate diagnosis and management in these patients.

INTRODUCTION
Shunting of blood flow through spontaneous portosystemic connections commonly develops in patients with portal hypertension and can be identified in up to 19% of patients awaiting liver transplantation. With progressive cirrhosis and the associated increased resistance to intrahepatic venous blood flow, mesenteric venous flow becomes hepatofugal through the splenic and/or left renal and coronary veins. If this pathologic flow pattern is not identified and appropriately managed, patients undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation are at increased risk of morbidity and mortality. Successful liver transplantation typically results in an immediate decrease in intrahepatic resistance accompanied by an initial increased hepatopetal portal flow. Within a short time period, the portal hypertension resolves and the variceal shunts involute. However, in situations in which intrahepatic vascular resistance to venous flow remains elevated, significant hepatofugal portal flow may continue through persistent mesenteric shunts. This situation, portal steal, can result in decreased perfusion of the liver graft leading to graft dysfunction, failure, and potentially recipient death.

Portal vein steal syndrome has been described in situations of small liver volumes (live donor grafts and reduced-size or split liver grafts). Here we report the diagnosis, management, and outcome of a patient with this syndrome who was diagnosed after a full-size deceased donor liver transplantation, review the current literature, and discuss best practice guidelines for prevention, evaluation, and management of this condition.

CASE REPORT
A 51-year-old obese woman with end-stage liver disease secondary to alcohol-induced cirrhosis presented for evaluation. Her past medical history included portal hypertension, esophageal varices, ascites, and hepatic encephalopathy. She underwent liver transplantation with a physiologic Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score of 31 using a donation after cardiac death liver graft. The transplantation technique included portosystemic veno-venous bypass and cava replacement (Figure 1A-B). She remained hemodynamically stable throughout the transplant. The graft remained soft with excellent portal vein and hepatic artery perfusion by gross examination.

Immediate postoperative bedside duplex Doppler ultrasonography demonstrated bidirectional flow in the right and left portal veins and normal hepatic artery flow characteristics. Post-transplant day 1 (POD 1), laboratory evaluation demonstrated significant liver graft dysfunction with persistent elevation in alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) (Table 1). She was returned emergently to the operating room (OR) for evaluation of the graft and liver biopsy. Intraoperative duplex...
Doppler ultrasound demonstrated hepatofugal and bidirectional flow in the portal vein. Portal venogram through a catheter placed in the proximal inferior mesenteric vein demonstrated persistent retrograde flow through the inferior mesenteric vein (IMV) and splenic vein. The portosystemic shunt was interrupted by ligation of the IMV. Post ligation intraoperative venogram demonstrated normal intrahepatic portal flow with no evidence of retrograde flow in the IMV or splenic vein (Figure 2B). She tolerated the procedure well, with normalization of liver enzyme values postoperatively (Table 1) and was eventually discharged to home with excellent graft function.

**DISCUSSION**

Portal steal syndrome results from persistent diversion of portal flow away from the liver through meso-systemic collaterals after liver transplantation. In patients who require transplant, the potential for portal steal syndrome should be identified prior to liver transplantation so that large and/or hemodynamically significant collateral vessels may be interrupted (ligation or coil embolization) during the initial transplant operation. Two distinct but occasionally coincidental issues related to this portal steal phenomenon can increase its likelihood, namely ischemia/reperfusion injury causing damage to the liver with increased resistance to flow or large shunts in the recipient causing diversion of portal blood flow away from the liver. Postoperative occurrence because of persistence of a large spontaneous shunt can result in graft failure due to reduced and/or reversed portal perfusion and a reduction in hepatotropic factors. Persistent shunts can be difficult to identify and may require a multimodal approach during the intraoperative period to ensure complete ligation and adequate graft flow. Prophylactic intraoperative exploration, evaluation, and ligation of large collateral splenorenal shunts (> 10 mm) appears to be the most effective way to prevent portal steal syndrome.

Splenorenal shunts larger than 10 mm at their transition into the left renal vein have a high likelihood of portal steal and require operative intervention to ensure adequate liver transplant flow. Lee and colleagues reviewed 44 cirrhotic patients with large spontaneous splenorenal shunts (> 10 mm in diameter). All patients underwent living donor liver transplant with ligation of the left renal vein at the time of transplantation. Although portal flow increased after ligation of the left renal vein, 9.1% of patients demonstrated an elevated serum creatinine level after ligation. The authors concluded that preemptive ligation of the left renal vein at the time of liver transplantation prevented a portal steal phenomenon. Avoiding graft hyperperfusion by excessive portal hypertension is equally as important as preventing portal steal through large spontaneous collaterals. Horrow et al described a large spontaneous splenorenal shunt following orthotopic liver transplant, in an allograft with 10% macrosteatosis and a cold ischemic time of 9 hours and 26 minutes. The routine sonography on POD 1 showed a patent anastomosis, but there was notable low portal venous flow. On POD 2, their patient had elevated liver function tests and a repeat sonography demonstrated bidirectional flow in the portal vein similar to our patient. The patient underwent reoperative surgery and an intraoperative sonogram showed the splenorenal shunt with hepatofugal flow, confirming steal from the liver.

After ligation of the splenorenal shunt, intraoperative sonography showed marked improvement in portal flow with velocities of 15-20 cm/sec as with our patient. Liver biopsy showed ischemia-reperfusion injury. The patient left the operating room, but died later that day.

Vessels smaller than 10 mm may involute and hence do...
not always require surgical intervention beyond liver transplantation. Kim et al described 19 patients with venous varices following liver transplantation, of which 13 patients underwent intraoperative transvenous embolization resulting in 100% improvement in portal vein inflow. Of their cohort, 6 patients underwent percutaneous transvenous embolization, but 33% showed technical failure and persistent portal steal. At 8-month follow-up, varices involuted in 13 patients, decreased in caliber 4, and remained unchanged in 2 patients.

Portal hemodynamics change dramatically following liver transplantation, and multiple studies have detailed the impact that shunting has on this dynamic. Jiang et al examined differences in portal hemodynamics between whole liver transplantation and living donor liver transplantation and noted that the portal venous flow in patients with portal hypertension showed a high perfusion state after living donor liver transplant (LDLT) and, in contrast to the whole liver transplantation, portal venous pressure elevation after LDLT delaying the time necessary to close the collateral circulation. Sainz-Barriga et al prospectively evaluated intraoperative portal hemodynamics of 103 whole and partial liver transplants and found that portal vein flow and hepatic artery flow did not immediately return to normal values after liver transplantation. Clinical outcomes of patients who underwent management of large collaterals to manage portal steal syndrome are summarized in Table 3.3,5,7,10,11

Aucejo et al in an analysis of liver transplant recipients showed the utility of preoperative flow measurement by computed tomography (CT) for identifying potentially problematic shunts. However, this may not find all collaterals as some shunts tend to be underperfused and tortuous in nature during the preoperative assessment. Kim et al showed that intraoperative venography can significantly improve outcomes by quickly identifying newly engorged shunts, while still in the OR allowing early ligation before a threat to the graft occurs. Furthermore, intraoperative venography is not constrained by the tortuosity of the vessel.

Smaller collaterals may become troublesome in the postoperative period as they can be missed by traditional imaging modalities and may mature into larger vessels during the postoperative period. Moon et al showed that intraoperative portofluoroscopy as an adjunct to intraoperative ultrasonography (IOUS) and visual inspection can dramatically improve identification of potentially problematic collaterals and assist in the ligation of collaterals that would be missed otherwise. Intraoperative portofluoroscopy has the added benefit of providing accurate measurement of portal flow.

Judicious ligation of shunts is necessary and requires sound clinical judgment as overly aggressive ligation can overwhelm the portal system. Initial studies suggest both the safety and efficacy of this practice to reduce graft failure rates from poor portal perfusion, re-operative intervention, and the need for postoperative angiography.

Portal steal syndrome is most common among patients with preoperative portal vein hypertension such as those with cirrhosis. While all liver transplant recipients may be affected, it appears to impact those with LDLT the most. Specific signs and symptoms of posttransplant portal vein steal syndrome are poorly reported, but tend to mimic signs and symptoms of acute rejection including poor clinical course, elevated liver function tests (LFT), and elevated total bilirubin. Doppler ultrasound may show bidirectional or hepatopetal flow in the portal vein.

Identifying the potential for portal steal prior to liver transplantation is essential for patients undergoing transplant. We suggest utilizing imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), and ultrasound (US) to identify problematic shunts. Intraoperative venography can be added as a supplemental tool to improve identification of collateral vessels.
CONCLUSION

The differential diagnosis of immediate liver graft dysfunction should include a high index of suspicion for postoperative portal steal syndrome. The most effective therapy to avoid this complication may be the prophylactic ligation of potentially problematic shunts. This requires a multimodal approach and sound surgical judgment. The keys to successful outcomes postoperatively are having a high index of suspicion for portal vein steal syndrome to enable early recognition, regular ultrasound screening, and prompt institution of surgical therapy in order to salvage patients with portal vein steal syndrome.
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